(6) G. H. Svoboda, I. S. Johnson, M. Gorman, and N. Neuss, J. Pharm. Sci., 51, 707(1962).

(7) G. H. Svoboda, G. A. Poore, P. J. Simpson, and G. B. Boder, *ibid.*, 55, 758(1966).

(8) M. E. Wall, M. C. Wani, C. E. Cook, K. H. Palmer, A. T. McPhail, and G. A. Sim, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88, 3888(1966).

(9) S. M. Kupchan, T.-H. Yang, G. S. Vasilikiotis, M. H. Barnes, and M. L. King, *ibid.*, **89**, 3075(1967).

(10) W. M. Messmer, M. Tin-Wa, H. H. S. Fong, C. Bevelle, and N. R. Farnsworth, J. Pharm. Sci., 61, 1858(1972).

(11) S. M. Kupchan, R. J. Hemingway, J. R. Knox, S. J. Bar-

boutis, D. Werner, and M. A. Barboutis, ibid., 56, 603(1967).

(12) S. M. Kupchan, A. J. Liepa, R. L. Baxter, and H. P. J. Hintz, J. Org. Chem., 38, 1846(1973).

(13) S. M. Kupchan, Y. Komoda, W. A. Court, G. J. Thomas, R. M. Smith, A. Karim, C. J. Gilmore, R. C. Haltiwanger, and R. F. Bryan, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94, 1354(1972).

(14) S. M. Kupchan, R. W. Britton, M. F. Ziegler, and C. W. Sigel, J. Org. Chem., 38, 178(1973).

(15) N. R. Farnsworth, J. Pharm. Sci., 55, 225(1966).

(16) J. J. Willaman and B. G. Schubert, "Alkaloid-bearing Plants and Their Contained Alkaloids," U.S. Department of Agriculture Bull. 1234 (1961).

(17) J. J. Willaman and H. L. Li, Lloydia, 33(3A), 1(1970).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND ADDRESSES

Received July 25, 1973, from the Department of Pharmacognosy and Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, University of Illinois at the Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60612

Accepted for publication October 11, 1973.

\* To whom inquiries should be directed.

# Mechanisms of Hydrolysis of Salicylanilide N-Methylcarbamates

# LEO W. BROWN, RICHARD S. P. HSI, and ARLINGTON A. FORIST<sup>x</sup>

Abstract D The facile hydroxide-ion-catalyzed hydrolysis of the carbamoyl group in salicylanilide N-methylcarbamate (I) and analogs unsubstituted on the anilide nitrogen proceeds by a reaction mechanism involving anchimeric assistance by the o-carboxanilide group. Substitution of an alkyl or aryl group for the anilide proton greatly reduces the hydrolysis rate; the reaction rate constant for I is  $\sim 7 \times 10^2$  times that for N-methylsalicylanilide Nmethylcarbamate (II). To characterize the mechanism for II, comparative parameters of activation were determined for I and II. The entropy of activation ( $\Delta S_{\downarrow}$ ) for II was 8.6 eu more negative than that for I, suggesting steric hindrance in the transition state for II. The observed reaction rate constant for II was consistent with estimates based on the electronic ortho-substituent effect of the carboxanilide group. It is concluded that II is hydrolyzed via the established mechanism for simple aryl N-monosubstituted carbamates (rapid proton extraction followed by a rate-determining conversion of the carbamate anion to an isocyanate) rather than by the mechanism followed by I.

**Keyphrases**  $\square$  Salicylanilide *N*-methylcarbamates—mechanisms of hydrolysis  $\square$  Hydrolysis mechanisms—salicylanilide *N*-methylcarbamates  $\square$  Carbamoyl group hydrolysis—mechanisms of salicylanilide *N*-methylcarbamate hydrolysis

A growing body of literature (1-7) has established a duality of mechanism for the alkaline hydrolysis of aryl carbamates. In the absence of a proton on the nitrogen atom (N, N-disubstituted carbamates), the reaction follows Scheme I, characteristic of simple ester hydrolysis. However, for compounds containing a proton on the nitrogen atom (N-monosubstituted carbamates), hydrolysis occurs via Scheme II (the ElcB mechanism).

Reports from these laboratories described the kinetics of hydrolysis of a number of aryl carbamates possessing anti-inflammatory activity (8-10). Hsi *et al.* (9) showed that the extremely rapid hydroxide-ion-catalyzed hydrolysis of the carbamoyl group of



salicylanilide N-methylcarbamate (I) and a number of analogs with para-substituents in the phenyl ring of the anilide moiety involved participation by the neighboring o-carboxanilide group. Reaction rate constants,  $k_{\rm OH-}$ , were logarithmically related to the Hammett  $\sigma$ -constants ( $\rho = 0.46$  at 37°). A special case of the mechanism shown in Scheme II, involving rapid proton extraction from the carbamate nitrogen followed by a rate-limiting intramolecular cyclization and subsequent ring opening and fragmentation, was indicated (Scheme III).

Substitution of an alkyl or aryl group for the proton on the anilide nitrogen of I produces a dramatic reduction in the carbamate hydrolysis rate (9). Reaction rate constants for N-methylsalicylanilide Nmethylcarbamate (II) and N-phenylsalicylanilide Nmethylcarbamate (III) are nearly identical and only about 15 times that for phenyl N-methylcarbamate

$$RHN = \stackrel{0}{C} = 0 - Ar \xrightarrow{OH^{-}}_{H^{+}}$$

$$R = \stackrel{0}{N} = \stackrel{0}{C} = 0 - Ar \xrightarrow{slow}_{Scheme II} R = N = C = 0 + Ar0^{-}$$

 Table I—Reaction Conditions and Kinetic Constants for

 Carbamate Hydrolysis in 50% Aqueous Ethanol

Com- pound	${ m Concentration,}\ M imes 10^5$	Ap- parent pH	Tem- pera- ture	$\begin{array}{c} k_{\rm OH} - \pm SD, \\ M^{-1} \min^{-1} \end{array}$
I	9.26 9.26 8.60	5.4 5.4 5.4	30° 37° 47°	$\begin{array}{c} 1.29 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{5} \\ 1.97 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{5} \\ 4.48 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{5} \end{array}$
II	21.9 19.2 18.9	8.6 8.6 8.6	30° 37° 47°	$\begin{array}{c} 1.82 \pm 0.01 \times 10^2 \\ 3.05 \pm 0.01 \times 10^2 \\ 7.15 \pm 0.05 \times 10^2 \end{array}$
IIIª	10.4	8.6	37°	$2.89 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{2}$
IVª	42.3	10.2	37°	$1.90 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{1}$

<sup>a</sup> Reference 9.

(IV)  $(37^\circ, 50\%$  aqueous ethanol). By contrast, I is hydrolyzed at a rate  $10^4$  times that for IV. Hydrolysis of II (and III) predominantly *via* Scheme II is suggested by these results.

Limited studies to date indicate that the entropy of activation  $(\Delta S_{+}^{\pm})$  for Scheme II is considerably more positive than that for Scheme I (2, 6). Parameters of activation have not been reported for the ocarboxanilide-assisted carbamate hydrolysis (9) or for the more recently described intramolecular nucleophilic attack on carbamates by the phenoxide ion (11) and the carboxylate ion (12). Therefore, to provide such information for Scheme III and to clarify the reaction mechanism for II, comparative parameters of activation for the hydrolysis of I and II were determined and are reported, together with estimated rate constants for nonanchimerically assisted hydrolysis based on electronic substituent effects.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Solutions of I and II were prepared in 50% aqueous ethanolic acetate and phosphate  $(0.025 \ M)$  buffers, respectively, at the concentrations and apparent pH values shown in Table I. Each solution was placed in a UV spectrophotometer equipped with a constant-temperature cell compartment maintained at the temperatures indicated in Table I. Absorbances  $(A_t)$  were determined at appropriate times at the absorption maximum of the product phenols (300 and 285 nm for I and II, respectively) until no further absorbance increases occurred  $(A_{\infty})$ . Pseudo-first-order reaction rate constants (k') were obtained from the slopes of log  $(A_{\infty} - A_t)$  versus time curves fitted by linear least-squares regression analysis. Based on the previously established fact that the hydrolysis of I, II, and other aryl carbamates displays first-order dependence on the hydroxide ion (8-10), specific reaction rate constants  $(k_{OH-})$  were calculated from the equation:

$$\log k' = \log k_{OH^-} + pH - pKw \qquad (Eq. 1)$$

Activation energies  $(\Delta E_a)$  were determined from the classical Arrhenius equation by linear least-squares regression;  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  and  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  were determined similarly from the absolute reaction rate equation.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Specific reaction rate constants  $(k_{OH-})$  for hydrolysis of the carbamoyl groups in I and II in 50% aqueous ethanol are shown in Table I; similar data for III and IV from previous work (9) are included for comparison. Derived parameters of activation for I and II are presented in Table II. Activation energies  $(\Delta E_a)$  and enthalpies of activation  $(\Delta H^{\ddagger})$  are not significantly different for the two compounds. Vontor *et al.* (6) observed a  $\Delta E_a$  value of 16.5 kcal mole<sup>-1</sup> for the alkaline hydrolysis of 1-naphthyl *N*-methylcarbamate in aqueous solution; Christenson (2) reported a  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}_{1}$ value of 16.6 kcal mole<sup>-1</sup> for phenyl *N*-phenylcarbamate hydroly-

**Table II**—Activation Parameters for the Hydrolysis ofI and II in 50% Aqueous Ethanol

Parameter	I	II
$\Delta E_a$ (kcal mole <sup>-1</sup> ) $\Delta H^{\pm}$ (kcal mole <sup>-1</sup> ) $\Delta S^{\pm}$ (eu)	$\begin{array}{c} 14.2 \ \pm \ 1.3 \\ 13.6 \ \pm \ 1.3 \\ 1.6 \ \pm \ 4.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 15.6 \pm 0.8 \\ 15.0 \pm 0.8 \\ -7.0 \pm 2.7 \end{array}$

sis in 5-10% ethanol. The corresponding parameters for I and II are slightly less, but direct comparisons are complicated by the different solvents employed. In studies (13) of the alkaline hydrolysis of formate esters,  $\Delta H_1^{\pm}$  in water was significantly smaller than in 85% ethanol. By analogy, predicted  $\Delta E_a$  and  $\Delta H_1^{\pm}$  values for the hydrolysis of I and II in aqueous solution would be significantly smaller than the values observed in 50% ethanol and, hence, less than those reported for other aryl carbamates in aqueous solution.

Entropies of activation  $(\Delta S^{\ddagger})$  of 0.6 and 5.0 eu were reported for the hydrolysis of 1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate (6) and phenyl N-phenylcarbamate (2), respectively, consistent with expectations for the mechanism shown in Scheme II (14). The value of  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}_{\ddagger}$  for I, 1.6 eu, is intermediate in magnitude and indicates that its facile hydrolysis *via* Scheme III is not the consequence of a more favorable  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}_{\ddagger}$  but rather of a reduced  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}_{\ddagger}$ .

The value of  $\Delta S_1^{\dagger}$  for the hydrolysis of II, -7.0 eu, is considerably more negative than for I. In addition, it is the most negative of those cited from the literature as well as those found in these laboratories<sup>1</sup> for various aryl N-methylcarbamates hydrolyzed via Scheme II. The  $\Delta S_1^{\dagger}$  alone does not provide unequivocal proof of mechanism. However, considered with other evidence (vide infra), the observed negative  $\Delta S_1^{\dagger}$  is consistent with steric hindrance in the transition state (14, 15) and hydrolysis of II via Scheme II.

For hydrolysis of II by Scheme II, it should be possible to relate  $k_{OH-}$  to the electronic substituent effect of the *ortho*-substituted phenyl *N*-methylcarbamate. Williams (7) correlated hydrolysis rate constants for *para*- and *meta*-substituted phenyl *N*-phenyl-carbamates with Hammett  $\sigma$  (or  $\sigma^{-}$ ) constants and obtained  $\rho$  =



**Figure 1**—Linear least-squares regression of log  $k_{OH^-}$  for the hydrolysis of o-substituted phenyl N-methylcarbamates (37.5°, aqueous solution) (17) versus  $\sigma_0^-$  (16).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unpublished results.



2.86. No similar study of ortho-substituent effects has been reported.

The ortho-substituent constants  $(\sigma_{0-})$  for a large number of functional groups were recently determined (16). Therefore, utilizing these  $\sigma_{0-}$  values and the  $k_{OH-}$  values (37.5°) reported (17) for five ortho-substituted phenyl N-methylcarbamates, a linear least-squares regression of log  $k_{OH-}$  versus  $\sigma_{0-}$  was obtained (Fig. 1). The fit was acceptable (r = 0.83), considering the limited number of data points, and gave a  $\rho$  value of  $\pm 2.2$ , in reasonable agreement with that obtained by Williams (7) based on para- and meta-substituents.

With the  $k_{\rm OH-}$  for IV hydrolysis (37°, 50% aqueous ethanol, Table I),  $\sigma_{\rm o-}$  for the N-phenylcarboxamide group (0.79), and the previously determined  $\rho$ ,  $k_{\rm OH-}$  reflecting only ortho-substituent effects was calculated for I (and for II, assuming a similar  $\sigma_{\rm q-}$  for the N-methyl-N-phenylcarboxamide group). The resulting value,  $1.04 \times 10^3 M^{-1} \min^{-1}$ , is markedly less than that observed for I (1.97 × 10<sup>5</sup>  $M^{-1}$  min<sup>-1</sup>, Table I), as expected. However, the calculated  $k_{\rm OH-}$  is actually larger (by a factor of 3.4) than that found for II (3.05 × 10<sup>2</sup>  $M^{-1}$  min<sup>-1</sup>, Table I). In view of the inherent assumptions, this is considered quite reasonable agreement and argues strongly against anchimeric assistance (Scheme III) in the hydrolysis of II. Apparently, the presence of a methyl (II) or phenyl (III) group on the anilide nitrogen exerts sufficient steric influence to preclude formation of the cyclic intermediate of Scheme III. This is supported by the negative  $\Delta S_1^{+}$  for II.

In Scheme III, V is considered the more likely intermediate by analogy to the cyclization of salicylaldehyde N-methylcarbamate to yield 3,4-dihydro-4-hydroxy-3-methyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazin-2-one (18). This intermediate is also consistent with the results (19) on intramolecular reactions involving ureido group participation.

#### REFERENCES

(1) L. W. Dittert and T. Higuchi, J. Pharm. Sci., 52, 852(1963).

(2) I. Christenson, Acta Chem. Scand., 18, 904(1964).

(3) M. L. Bender and R. B. Homer, J. Org. Chem., 30,

3975(1965).
(4) D. J. Woodcock, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1968, 267

(5) A. F. Hegarty and L. N. Frost, ibid., 1972, 500.

(6) T. Vontor, J. Socha, and M. Vecera, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 37, 2183(1972).

(7) A. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1972, 808.

(8) L. W. Brown and A. A. Forist, J. Pharm. Sci., 61, 858(1972).

(9) R. S. P. Hsi, L. W. Brown, F. Kagan, A. R. Hanze, J. E. Stafford, and A. A. Forist, J. Org. Chem., 37, 3427(1972).

(10) L. W. Brown and A. A. Forist, J. Pharm. Sci., 62, 145(1973).

(11) J. E. C. Hutchins and T. H. Fife, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95, 2282(1973).

(12) L. N. Frost and A. F. Hegarty, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1973, 82.

(13) H. M. Humphreys and L. P. Hammett, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 78, 521(1956).

(14) L. L. Schalegar and F. A. Long, in "Advances in Physical Organic Chemistry," vol. 1, V. Gold, Ed., Academic, New York, N.Y., 1963, pp. 1-31.

(15) L. W. Brown and A. A. Forist, J. Pharm. Sci., 62, 1365(1973).

(16) M. T. Tribble and J. G. Traynham, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 379(1969).

(17) M. J. Kolbezen, R. L. Metcalf, and T. R. Fukuto, J. Agr. Food Chem., 2, 864(1954).

(18) R. E. Strube and F. A. MacKellar, Rec. Trav. Chim., 83, 1191(1964).

(19) A. F. Hegarty and T. C. Bruice, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 6575(1970).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND ADDRESSES

Received June 18, 1973, from the Research Laboratories, The Upjohn Company, Kalamazoo, MI 49001

Accepted for publication October 30, 1973.

\* To whom inquiries should be directed.